PHONE: (404) 962-3000 FAX: (404) 962-3013 EMAIL: CHANCELLOR@USG.EDU

October 13, 2021

Presidents
University System of Georgia
sent via email

Dear Presidents:

The Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia (UnSection October 12 - 13, 2021, on the campus of the Georgia Institute of Technology uring this meeting revisions were made to the following Board of Regent (BOR) policies:

Personnel

3/4 Board Policy Revisions PosPostTenure and Annual Review (8.3)

The effective date of **th**sepolicy revisions October 13, 2021Attached as an Exhibit is a document that shows the language added / deleted **thres**epolicies. Please share widely with the appropriate offices at your institution to include Academic Affairs, Human Resources, Legal Affairs, and Compliance

Questions regarding the selicy revisions should be directed to Dr. Martha Venn who serves as the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. Dr. Venn may be reached at martha.venn@usrg(404) 9623097.

Sincerely,

Teresa MacCartney Acting Chancellor

cc: Tracey Cook, Executive Vice Chancellor for Strategy and Fiscal Affairs Dr. Tristan Denley, Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs Ashley Jones May, Chief of Staff and Vice Chancellor for External Affairs Claire Arnold, Vice Chancellor for Internal Audit, Chief Audit Officer Dr. John Fuchko, III, Vice Chancellor for granizational Effectiveness Dr. Juanita Hicks, Vice Chancellor for Human Resources Sandra Neuse/ice Chancellor for Real Estate and Facilities Dr.

Dr. Martha Venn,Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
Chris McGraw, Associate Vice Chancellor for Legal Affairs
Josiah Heidt, Legal Counsel
Wesley Horne, Director of thicsand Complance
InstitutionalProvosts
Institutional Human Resource Directors
Institutional Legal Officers
Institutional Effectiveness Leads
Institutional Audit Directors

([KLELW

% RDUG 3ROLF & F5 VHMY L7 VH LQRX QU M DQG \$QQXDO 5HYLHZ

Recommended:That the Board approve the request from Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief AcademicOfficer Dr. Tristan Denley to approve proposed evisions to Board Policy 8.3., effective October 13, 2021.

8.3.5.1 Faculty (Current Language)

Each University System of Georgia (USG) institution shall establis definite and state criteria, FR QV WLZI QWWKRUDER I 5 KQJWSVRII O HUDEQ QV KVHW D VRV MWMLHQVV VRV QDVUXDWL Q VKWW KZHK L F performance of each facult member will be evaluated. The evaluation shall occur at least annually. Institutional policies and procedure shall ensure that each faculty member will receive a written report of each evaluation and that the results of the evaluation will be reflected in the IDFXRDHVP EINDUQ QDX D VOUDHUFRPPH VQ QQDVWMLERQZDX QVO HVQKWDKMUHQ G LYLGXD O V

may also WKLHQGLYLGXDO¶V

ajor responsibilities lie.

sch University System of Georgia (USG) institution shall conided by the pre-tenure reviews of faculty in their third year of progress toward tenure with a focus on the criteria established for

EachUniversitySystemof Georgia(USG)institutionshallconductin-depthpre-tenurereviewsof all faculty in their third year of progress toward tenure with a focus on the criteria established for promotion and tenure, emphasizing excellence in teacatring involvement in student success activities. The institution shall develop ptenure review plicies, as well as any subsequent revisions.

8.3.5.1Faculty (Final Language)

Each University Systemof Georgia (USG) institution shall establish definite and stated criteria, FRQVLVWHQWZLWK %R the JAC and this stand of the white the performance of each faculty member will be evaluated. The criteria shall include evaluation of instruction, student success activities, research/scholarship, and services appropriate the faculty PHPE institution, school or college, and department, and responsibilities. The criteria shad submitted to the USG Chief Academic Officer for review and approval.

Each institution aspart of its evaluative procedures, will utilize a system of faculty evaluations by students, with the improvement of teaching effective areas student learnings the main focus of these student evaluations. The evaluation procedures may also utilize a system of peer HYDOXIVWIR QHVPSSOXIDIR/BLOWKHIDFX OSWIR IPHVIR/BHIKUDIPVIROSORSHIDE W scope of their responsibilities those cases, which a faculty PHPE Introduction for the proposition of the procedure of the proc

8.3.5.4PostTenure Review(Proposed Language)

Each institution shall conduct post-tenure reviews of all tenured faculty members five years after the most recent promotion or personnel action for the faculty member. Reviews shall continue at five year intervals unless interrupted by a further review for promotionor personnelaction. An administrator who has tenure will not be subjectost-tenure review, salong as a majority of the LQGLY blues are applicable in a nature. If and where nadministrator returns the faculty full-time, the individual will be placed into the post-tenure review cycle described above. Institution presidents hall review DQESS SUMR KHQVV LVS KNAMPER W Policies, as well as any subsequentevisions, both of which must conform to University System of Georgia procedures for postV HQXUH UHYLHZ DQG VKRXOG DGGUHVV FDV HV L performance is deemed unsatisfactory.

The post-tenure eview process hall support the further career development tenure of aculty members as well as ensure accountability and continued strong performance from faculty members after they have achieved tenure.

Each tenured faculty member shall participate in a post-tenure review within five years following the award of tenure and agairleast once every five years thereafter. The first post-tenure review VKDOO DVVHVV WKH WHQXUHG IDFXOW\ PHPEHU¶V SHUIRUP post-tenure reviews shall assess the performance since the most recent post-tenure review.

A tenuredfaculty membermay voluntarilychooseto participatein a post-tenurereview sooner WKDQILYH\HDUV, I WKLV YROXQWDU\UHYLHZLV VXFFHV\post-tenurereview will take placefive yearsafter this voluntary review. In addition, a tenured faculty member whose performance is evaluateed insatisfactory or not meeting expectations whetheroverall or in any particular area ±in an annual review processwill be provided with a UHPHGISDOVDIQNOLINE FXIDHVPLE HSUHIWIR UPVDQHFYHDDXXDWBVDLXLDFQVRRVU\meeting expectations±overall or in a particular area ±again the next year, the faculty member shall then under gaa a&g (QrF5 C6f €=Ã Ea Tw 42.5 (y)]TJ 0J /C390(tenur Tw J 0)ins(ti3TJ 0.9i28 Tw

If the results of the post-tenure review are unfavorable, then a performance improvement plan shall be created by the applicable department chair and dean in consultation with the faculty member.

Each tenure-granting institution must create its own specific policies for implementing this post-W H QUXHUYHISHRZO I(B) F K Q V W L WS IR W LVRICOHEWHO H Y H On Rooms What in the L Q V W L W X W L R Q ¶ V I D Fox on Wate due wood with the Change of the Company of the Compan

Consistentwith those guidelines and institutional policies, post-tenurereview shall include evaluation of instruction, student successactivities, research/scholarshipand service as is appropriate to the faculty P H P E institution, school or college, and department. The post-tenure iton,

Each institution Chancelloror	shall	compile	and	submit	an	annual	report	ont eost e	review	activity to	the

Faculty members holding these professorial ranks who are employed by a USG institution on less than a full-time basis and who are assigned by the USG institution to or hold an appointment at a non-USGcorporateor governmentaentity shall, subject to the approvable the Chancellorbe eligible for promotion and the award of tenure by the institution President.

The award of tenure is limited to the above academic ranks and shall not be construed to include honorific appointments suchsadjunct appointments. Faculty with non-tenure track appointments shall noton-lt

8.3.7.3Criteria for Tenure (Current Language)

Minimum for All Institutions in All Professorial Ranks

The minimum criteria for tenure are demonstrating:

- 1. Excellencændeffectivenessn teachingandinstruction;
- 2. Academicachievementasappropriateto the LQVWLM/is&ib/h;LRQ¶V
- 3. Outstandingserviceto the institution, profession or community; and,
- 4. Professionagrowth and development.

Noteworthy achievement is required in at least two of the above categories, but is not required in all four categories. A written recommendation should be stutenthely the head of the department FRQFHUQHG VHWWLQJIRUWK WKH UHDVRQVIRU WHQXUH institution shall be taken into consideration in determining whether or not the faculty member should be tenured, but neithtele possession of a doctorate degree nor longevity of service is a guaranteef tenure.

Researchand ComprehensiveUniversities

In addition to the minimum criteria above, tenure at the rank of associater full professor equires the terminal degree in the appropriate discipline or its equivalent in training, ability, or experience.

StateUniversities

In addition to the minimum criteria above, tenure requires the terminal degree in the appropriate disciplineor

StateUniversities

In addition to the minimum criteria above, tenure requires the terminal degree in the appropriate disciplineor its equivalent in training, ability, oexperience.

StateColleges

,Q DGGLWLRQ WR WKH PLQLPXP FULWHULDin Eth 医 Rea/ching WHQXU discipline or, in rare cases, at least the equivalent of two years of imfortistudy beyond the EDFKHd 即 Pet ¶V

8.3.7.3Criteria for Tenure (Final Language)

Minimum for All Institutions in All Professorial Ranks

The minimum criteria for tenure are demonstrating:

- 1. Excellencændeffectivenesin teachingandinstruction;
- 2. Outstandingnvolvementin studentsuccesactivities;
- 3. Academicachievementasappropriateto the LQVWLM/Is&ib/h;LRQ¶V
- 4. Outstandingserviceto the institution, professionor community; and,
- 5. Professionagrowth and development.

Noteworthy achievement is required in at least two of the above categories, but is not required in all categories A written recommendation should be submitted by the heads the department concerned setting forth the reasons or tenure. The faculty member VOHQRIWKHUZYWFKHDQ institution shall be taken into consideration in determining whether or not the faculty member should be tenured, but neither the possession of doctorated egreen or longevity of services a guarantee of tenure.

Research and Comprehensive Universities

In addition to the minimum criteria above, tenure the rank of associate or full professor requires the terminal degree the appropriate discipline its equivalent training, ability, or experience.

State Universities

In additionto the minimum criteria above, tenure requires the terminal degree appropriate discipline or its equivalent in training, ability, or experience.

State Colleges

,QDGLGRLQVWWAFRLQLPXP FULWWHHUQLXDUBMEDBRKNITE)XY/LWWHHUW出的WWHHDFKLQJ discipline or, in rare casse at least the equivalent of two years of full-time study beyond the EDFKHdexyFeety¶V

8.3.9 Discipline and Removal of Faculty Members (Current Language)

The President of a University System of Georgia (USG) institution or his or her designer may at any time remove may faculty member or other employee of an institution for cause Cause shall include willful or intention a violation of t K H % IS DEFHI JOHNSVR OVER WHO IS SUGRY WHO W X W H V R LE \ O VORZIDQL Q V VR DEVIDOX RWYL K H U ZVIR VIHLVORV KVHRUDG 5RH JOHNSVR O HUDOX CV K H approved statutes or bylaws of an institution.

8.3.9 Discipline and Removal of Faculty Members (Proposed Language)

The President of a University System of Georgia (USG) institution or his or her designer any at any time remove any faculty member or other employee of an institution for cause Cause shall include willful or intentional violation of the Board of 5 H J H Q W V ¶ policies or the approved statutes