### Dr. Todd Fernandez

Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomed Georgia Institute of Technology November 2023

## 

also develop mindsets that enable them to be curious and entrepreneurial and to see how the mindsets they developed in the course will carry forward into future endeavors.

Dr. Fernandez has produced 8 peer-reviewed journal atticles, a book chapter, and 12 conference presentations, including several publications in the Journal of Engineering Education, a well-respected journal with an impact factor well above the median for others in its category. Dr. Fernandez has also provided leadership and made major contributions to grants that have brought i o improve engineering education. This work has led to curricular change and instructional transformation in the School of Biomedical Engineering (BME), including introducing two new courses in BME and major revisions of 9 additional BME courses. In addition, Dr. Fernandez helped create 3 courses and revise 10 courses in another 3 Engineering departments at Georgia Tech.

Dr. Fernandez has created a number of faculty development workshops which he presented at Georgia Tech and national conferences to support colleagues in implementing evidence-based teaching approaches. He has also been invited to lead discussions during school retreats and institute-wide workshops, supporting the professional development of his colleagues as educators. In addition, he has served as a mentor and coach to several of his colleagues, supporting them in implementing research-based teaching innovations.

Dr. Fernandez has been recognized for these efforts through multiple awards, including the Georgia Tech Scholarship of Teaching and Learning Award, Georgia Tech Undergraduate Educator Award, and the Best Paper Award at the Association of Engineering Education conference.

In summary, Dr. Fernandez's intellectual curiosity, scholarly production, translation into teaching practice, and contributions to educational development at Georgia Tech and beyond muTw T\* 12 0 0 12 72 313.08 T 13.08 9Tm () Tj 12 0 0 12 424.078 34 0 12 193.92 416327.72 Tm (a

<u>ب</u>

# Condensed Curriculum Vitae \$FDGHPLF \$SSRLQWPHQWV

- 2018 Lecturer Wallace H. Coulter Departmen Georgia Insti
- 2017-2/01-8 ting Asst. Professor Office of Cross Ro-HulnInste of T

#### (GXFDWLRQ

- 22 Ph.i Engineeriı-Purdue I
- 20 Master di Mechanical-Rochester Inst
- 20 Bachelori Mechanical-Rochester Inlo

```
) LOD 1') HUCD CHG 7 0 3 XHUI] 6 % R KOQ $6 ,Q Q BRANK Q Q G DKVHjir Qrihi S LEP BO [
G YH SR S KRQ VOQ QHLQ HUQU KEXFDAURQ $5 (($Q Q X SOR QBHU GHH ([SR WANR.
3 X HU] ù) HUCD Q Q H 7 7 HDFK QU D Q GV DV HV HPQ W LV MOBULHV KWOWY Q SHLQ Q RADWH DOK Q N Q J
, ((()) U RLBU W Q LG X DRBW Q R QBHU GHH ), ((())
) HUQ D Q Q 7 0 6LYO D R RX LOV K R *LOV R Q '0 + RIPD Q Q 65 'HYHO
```

Teaching Philosophy, Educational Questions, and My approach to SoTL is as a mindset, meaning I inseparable octibes StoVTVLH ROW LFt, VyFplo Ro O O O O O O O E R V N N N froMnFKRODUO\WHDFmKlaQqJadvocate for Boyer's point SoTL and value as a form of research. However, inseparable because they amplify ea teaching as mindset, and my goal, is to always begin and termination of path to better learning. My teac well as an understanding of learning deeply gro linking my teaching to a scholarly process that ones I develop for my courses. That pairing not continuously refine an evidence-based approach criteria of SoTL: (1) inquiry focused on studen sound, (4) done in partners. <u>Theilptew</u>ni, th**Assit** Rudteun trus, eda research into course activities and then evalua To explain further, it is useful for me t approach learning as a constructed process process, not pa<u>Barsainvsefloyrob</u>) cectul maullpaatreaddolo(eol, what som given point is defined by what unhey aboradyskno Vygotsk)y, My93r4ole in that learning is aided by happens, I must ensure that new ideas are appro misconce poit i & n & o s (Rio æge) 2,00 129 p ut learning theories frameworks for creating educational intervention constructive, racherethah Spermally actake the per

At this point, half a page into a teaching philyou (or I) want to read. So, rather than more eintegrate scholarly teaching and SoTL products

5HIOHFWLRQ ± D QHHG D JRDO DQG D SURFHVV

designing learning processes rather than indivi

(Kolb & K;dHabr,b, 2 (DO) (19 ran)t, & Terry, 1993

Myskrolarly work on reflection focus toos roenfltewcot que develHoovplor refaditeic viit viseuteds e matfsfeuchtoofent shte amans deil tvylge esir lear: was the lepapelepacubtlhor on a

### Evidence of impact

This section is broken into two parts, reflecting philosophy. Those sections are each broken into learning practices on student learning and a set eaching and learning in engineering education. general examples related to evidence-based imprevidence-based teaching and learning in engineering.

#### 5 H I O H F W L R Q

#### , PSDFW RQ OHDUQLQJ

X 7RGG OLWHUDOO\FKDQJHG P\YLHZ QRW RQO\RIP\HGXFD\HYHU\SURIHVVRU ZRXOG ZLWK DIRFXV RQ QQHRDWUQHLQSJHDQQDGIIRU PDNLQJ PLVWDNHV RQ WKH KRPHZRUN KHOSHG PH WR E, MXVW WYKGRLXGJK,WOHRYSKKOWWLKKHKH SXW RQ UHDO ZRUOG DSSOLIPYDKKQìJWKEDFN WÂFN QR QR QS°€, MXP
'H ™0±3HOK-HRñ3EÐA-DOBEFDGSG

p HWKP p 0 W Q

pep 10/10/13

UCW& àG•K R ™` p 0

Prototype - you need to create some form of p REYLRXVO\\RX FDQ¶W LQFOXGH WKDW SURWRW\SH LQ WKH Rwhat question you hoped to answer by testi Ra description of how you tested your proto Revidence (e.g., a picture) that shows you Ra summary of what you learned about your p

x The course design in my stats course focuses statisti<sup>1</sup>csnedacptocess of conceptual change to that data have inherent meaning - which is ad which students collect themselves, throughout

x Gray, C. & Fernandez, T. (2018). Developing a Transdiscipli, QaWrHyUQIDeWalrRnQDnQg.-RXUQDQRI(Q3)44QHH1916Q2. In this paper, we describe how students approDesign theory highlights the usefulness of unthe potential limits of user knowledge. Howev

VWXGHQW H[SHULHQFH NQRZOHGJH LQWR WKH FODVVURRP

scholarly resources and examples of best prac component and to include involvement with SoT

x I chaired our 2022-2023 lecturer search, whic Biomedical Engineering Department. For the se transparent and equitable evaluation of teach use of educational best practices. The rubric candidates blinded of potential sources of im

# (YLGHQFH RI, PSDFW /LVW RI &RXUVH 6SHFLILF ([DPSOHV 3U) HUQDQGH]

Dear Scholarship of Teaching and Lidiag Award Review Committee,

I have known Todd Fernandez for about five years as a fellow lecturer in the Biomedical Engineering Department at Georgia Tech. As soon as Todd joined the department, his passion to bring a more scholarly, evidencebased, and researchiven approach to teaching in our department was immediately evident. Since then, he has taken on a number of difficult reliable to how BME DSSURDFKHV WHORD DAG Teacher approach to the classes he teaches and those he helps others teach that truly makes a difference.

An example of systematic and wearticulated approach to teach its does not ributions to the curricular change in our department through agrant from the Kern Engineering Entrepreneurship Networker, Todd worked with a number of faculty to bring researched teaching into all assets of our courses. Topics that Todd coached us through include some basic topics such as how to incorporate self reflections and drive self-irected learning in open

Letters of support from colleagues

November 10.

Selection Committee

Regents' Scholarship of Teaching and Learning A USG Office of Academic Affairs

Dear Members of the Selection Committee, It is with great pleasure DirhTaotddIFpenrfonoxanin ddibbebbebt OnW & ¶ 6FKRODUVKLS RI 7HDFKLQJ DrQ 6id/HD bliQ blQ d \$tZ Db bl G V oulter Engineering as a Lecturer in 2018. He was hire effort to innovate undergraduate engineering ed teacher who uses evidence-based practices, as a as a mentor of faculty teams creating curri of each of those areas of work have had a notal departments' undergraduate educational inno Todd has displayed his teaching excellence in t BMED100WORGXFWLRQ WR %LRTPoHoGoLFDOd(QtJbQHblblbQeJopme introduction into our required curriculum, and development of that course is driven by eviden design courses and first year course in general ePortfolios. Those ePortfolios are now used in thanks to Todd's efforts at faculty developmen BMED1000 on preparing students for later classe course has had on the faculty who teac, Qi WiUtR.G XTFIWel WR %LRPHGLFDO (Q,JLQHHUlrQeJq6iWrDeWdLVWrLdFeVrgraduate sta the course in Spring of 2019, Todd has become t advice, and teaching. Todd has developed cours engineering contexts, and other innovations dra to continue to develop the course in ways that study he conducted in Spring of 2022 that evalu paper under review with the American Society fo in preparation -fRoXrUQsDuOb Rai & WiDdWrLVtWdLFtVhDeQG'DWD 6FLHC Beyond being a scholarly teacher and publishin fundamental education research and translation His CV highlights the consistent pattern of pu reflection, faculty development, and students' two journal articles p71Rbl,PSURYHiWhKH24PBBGHPT\heedfi consequences of efforts to formalize and improv secon 71 K, Hi, Qi W H U Q D W L R Q D O - R X U Q, D O a Rola 1 (Qizt LeQdH HhU du Qi J e (166 gX iF i understand design education in the context of e changes in three of our four required under publications, Todd brings a perspective to ou

understanding and approach to teaching in ways

new courses to syllabi to ABET.

Toddah kansol ped lmaa ajobrt kerdieunecraotviaotniaohn ogurra nobbenspola rattohnreonsts Col lege of Enfajirns ete RieiowunggluNtSinFonthae ing Engineweorrik negd D to mente aontPrae - TAesn suir ReManPortofeisnas roervsis ion ob